

From glowbugs@theporch.com Tue Dec 19 00:33:43 1995
Return-Path: glowbugs@theporch.com
Received: from uro (localhost.theporch.com [127.0.0.1]) by uro.theporch.com
(8.7.3/AUX-3.1.1) with SMTP id AAA25628; Tue, 19 Dec 1995 00:30:13 -0600 (CST)
Date: Tue, 19 Dec 1995 00:30:13 -0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <199512190630.AAA25628@uro.theporch.com>
Errors-To: ws4s@midtenn.net
Reply-To: glowbugs@theporch.com
Originator: glowbugs@theporch.com
Sender: glowbugs@theporch.com
Precedence: bulk
From: glowbugs@theporch.com
To: Multiple recipients of list <glowbugs@theporch.com>
Subject: GLOWBUGS digest 51
X-Listprocessor-Version: 6.0c -- ListProcessor by Anastasios Kotsikonas
X-Comment: Please send list server requests to listproc@theporch.com
Status: 0

GLOWBUGS Digest 51

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) Best tubes for a VF0?
by mjsilva@ix.netcom.com (michael silva)

Date: Mon, 18 Dec 1995 22:25:38 -0800
From: mjsilva@ix.netcom.com (michael silva)
To: glowbugs@theporch.com
Subject: Best tubes for a VF0?
Message-ID: <199512190625.WAA25150@ix13.ix.netcom.com>

Hi all,

Yes, I know you're all out shopping, but I've got a question just the same. I have never seen a good discussion of the desired tube characteristics for use in a VF0. What I -have- seen is just about every imaginable tube type used, making me wonder if it matters all that much. So, which is better: triode vs. pentode, sharp-cutoff vs. remote cutoff, high gm vs. not-so-high, etc. I'm about to start reading up on VF0s again for a new project, so any other advice is also welcomed -- it's been a while since I thought about these things.

73,
Mike, KK6GM

End of GLOWBUGS Digest 51
